A View from the Top

Admiral James O. Ellis, U.S. Navy

Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Naval Forces, Europe Commander, Allied Forces Southern Europe and

Commander, Joint Task Force NOBLE ANVIL during Operation ALLIED FORCE



This is NOT:

- **★** The story of the ALLIED FORCE air campaign
- Statistics, facts and figures
- What your staffs and component commanders can tell you better than I
- All good news

This IS an attempt to give you:

- A senior Commander's unique perspectives and very personal opinions
- Thoughts on how the next Joint Force Commander can fight his campaign even better
- A springboard for open and frank discussion



The most precise and lowest collateral damage air campaign... in history

- ★ <u>Achieved all objectives</u>... at the strategic, operational and tactical levels of war
- Zero aircrews lost in 78 days of round-the-clock ops and over 38,000 combat sorties
- NATO's largest combat operation in its history
- 13 of 19 allies contributed forces... 305 aircraft, almost 15,000 sorties
- Many operational firsts... but most importantly...

FRY forces are out of Kosovo ... and the process of recovery is well underway under KFOR



Operational Firsts



Impressive combat debuts:

- B-2 / JDAM "Global Reach--Global Power" concept
- SLAM-equipped P-3C AIP
- TLAMs launched by the Royal Navy -- 21 launched from HMS SPLENDID (and reloaded in-theater)
- C-17 made possible the first-time air deployment of a major, multi-role Army force of M1s, M2s, MLRS, 105 and 155 howitzers, and engineer equipment
- JWAC Tier-4 Collateral Damage predictive modeling validated...time after time...in combat

And broad, multi-dimensional non-combat theater ops continued unabated...



The Air Campaign



Highly effective and <u>superbly</u> executed... but politically constrained

- **★** Air power was an effective "arrow," but it was only one arrow in our "quiver"... needed to be complemented by other arrows to be maximally effective
- Air strikes were effective against VJ armor only after the UCK launched its major offensive
- UCK forced the defending forces to uncover and mass their armor and mechanized forces
- Q36/Q37 highly effective in identifying VJ indirect fire assets... but work remains on "sensor-to-shooter"

After the air campaign, significant ground forces were still required to occupy and secure the area.



Planning and Posturing



Just what is a major campaign?

- **★ If Serbia took 78 days....**
- Is everything except SWA and Korea to be a "No Plan" start?

What does that portend for planning, presence levels and required capabilities?

- CVBG / ARG presence in the EUCOM AOR?
- Army mobility and deployability?
- Joint war reserves and sustainability?
- The future of low density / high demand assets?



Short War Syndrome



We called this one <u>absolutely wrong</u>...

- ***** Affected much of what followed:
 - JTF activation, staff composition, facilities, command and control, logistics and execution
 - Lack of a coherent campaign planning
 - Lack of adequate component staffing
 - The race to find suitable targets
- OPLAN focused on brief, single-dimension combat
 - Deception, diversion & feint opportunities lost
 - We failed to plan for branches and sequels

What will we do next time?



JTF-NA was not formed around a predesignated (and trained) theater staff

- ★ Past paradigms have focused on training and planning for 3-star JTF Commanders only
- But major coalition operations of this magnitude require decisive <u>and senior</u> U.S. leadership (4-star)
- AFSOUTH / CNE was uniquely positioned to synchronize U.S. and alliance operations
- CINCUSNAVEUR staff formed the core cadre... effective, but not optimal

Implications for theater postures for future?

Training and broad investment required



Requirement for "JTF in a Box"

- **★ Plan for fully-functional JTF and component staffs**
- Identify...or build...facilities, connectivity, SOPs
 - Develop an augmentee database and training program
- Use commercial systems, Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE), Deployable JTF Augmentation Cell (DJTFAC)
 - Information Management Plan & C4I facility needed for staff, components... <u>and alliance members!</u>



CNE already working these for Europe



Affects every aspect of planning and execution

- **★** Caused "incremental war" instead of decisive operations
- Excessive collateral damage concerns created sanctuaries ...and opportunities...for the adversary --which were successfully exploited
- We did not anticipate the difficulties of NATO out-ofcharter operations
- Ruling out a ground option corrupted JFLCC continuity, removed campaign planning, challenged C2, and resulted in a hasty last minute ground planning effort



Ruling Out Ground Operations



Lack of the credible threat of ground invasion probably prolonged the air campaign

- **★** Although never committed to action, TF HAWK was "their worst nightmare" for enemy forces in Kosovo
 - The focus of a particularly effective PSYOP effort
- Our only "sequential plan" was to do more of the same...with more assets
- Only the enemy could decide the war was over

Never say never... or deny yourself credible options



The Ground Component



Lack of a Ground Component Commander was a mistake

- ★ Even absent a combat ground offensive, the planning and staffing capabilities that an ARFOR would have provided were needed
- Shifted significant ground planning responsibilities to the JTF staff -- only marginally prepared to handle myriad issues pertaining to Initial Entry Force for Kosovo and TF FALCON

You won't always know what you need until you need it



Not yet the "Three Block War"...but not the Iraqi desert either

- **★** Future campaigns will have more target areas like Belgrade than Basra...or Route Pack I
- Ups the political constraints by an <u>order of magnitude</u>
- Allows adversaries to utilize CNN to their best advantage... yields informational "interior lines"
- Impacts across the spectrum of capabilities: ISR, targeting, munitions, IO, collateral damage, world opinion, coalitions, etc.

We were lucky... but luck is not a principle of war for the next Commander



Collateral Damage



The new "American Way of War"...

- ★ Proud to be an American... only nation in the world with all the tools (analytic, modeling, platforms, weapons, training, intelligence)
- But our allies cannot match us...
- And adversaries will inflict as much CD as possible
- Very expensive...investment required to maintain and improve capabilities (in all areas)
- Creates public expectations...every incident is a perceived failure and will be exploited publicly

Or self-inflicted asymmetric warfare?



Nodal Analysis



Properly done, enables great effects at greatly reduced effort, risk and cost

- **★ Many successes... Electrical Power, POL, LOCs**
- Achieved effects precisely in line with theater and JTF strategic objectives
- Always planned for systematic, follow-on attacks
- Requires robust and sustained ISR and assessment... beginning long before and continuing throughout

Enables system <u>preservation</u> as well as destruction... for follow-on ops (e.g., road structures within Kosovo for KFOR)

Two vignettes...



A War of Weather



We may own the night...but poor weather creates sanctuaries and operational lulls

- Precision-guided is no longer "good enough"
- We experienced greater than 50% cloud cover more than 70% of the time... and it wasn't the worst part of the year
- Laser or EO-guided munitions cannot hit what the pilots cannot see
- JDAM expenditure equaled the production rate

GPS-guidance is a requirement and the way ahead... invest accordingly... allies too



Information Operations



At once a great success...and perhaps the greatest failure of the war

- **★** First IO Cell activated at the JTF-level
- All the tools are in place...only a few were used
- Great people...with great access to leadership...but too junior and from the wrong communities to have the <u>required impact</u> on planning and execution
- Incredible potential...must become our asymmetric "point of main effort"...but not yet understood by war fighters... and classified beyond their access

Properly executed, IO could have <u>halved</u> the length of the campaign



More important than ever

- **★ Trust... and use... the** professionals
- Beware of amateurs who "want to help"... with <u>your</u> program
- Your prerogatives may be usurped...but don't surrender them
- PSYOP is at once an art, a science,...

And a *force multiplier*



Serbia at the Crossroads.

The bombing will not last forever, although when it ends is up to you. Each day that goes by brings Serbia further unwanted destruction and increased isolation because of Milosevic's pogrom in Kosovo-Metohija.

Now is the time to start thinking about the future. How will Serbia rebuild? Will any other country even want to help, or will you have to rebuild all by yourself? Where do you envision yourself and your country in a year, or in five years? What kind of burden and future will this leave for your children?

Call on your leadership to stop its atrocities in Kosovo-Metohija and take positive steps to resolve the crisis now.

The choice is yours. Do something about it.

04-8-06-L00 1



Not a shining moment for the U.S. or NATO

- The enemy was better at this than we were... and far more nimble
- The enemy deliberately and criminally killed innocents by the thousands...but no one saw it
- We accidentally killed innocents sometimes by the dozens...and the world watched on the evening news
- We were continuously reacting, investigating and trying to answer "how could this happen?"
- Milosevic had informational "interior lines"

A much underutilized instrument of national and alliance power... ignore it at your peril



Information Technology



Great technology...but needs controls...

- Information saturation is <u>additive</u> to "the fog of war"
- The demand for info will always exceed the capability to provide it... how much is <u>enough</u>?
- You can have too much staff coordination ... and for issues that don't require it
- Still need to "push" critical info vice "posting it" on the web page... no substitute for record traffic
- You can only <u>manage</u> from your DTC... you cannot <u>lead</u> from it

Uncontrolled, it will control you and your staffs...and lengthen your decision-cycle times

Leaders, Command, and the VTC

Used properly... a most powerful tool

- **★** Ability to shorten decision cycles dramatically
- Clear and unambiguous Commander's Intent
- Obviates need for key commanders to be co-located

Used improperly...

- A voracious consumer of leadership and key staff working hours ... the trend towards glitzy graphics
- No substitute for campaign planning and written orders
- Subject to misinterpretation as key guidance is filtered down to lower staff levels
- Enables senior leadership to sink to past comfort levels... discipline is required to remain at the appropriate level of engagement and command



After 78 days of hard campaigning, we effected little degradation on a modern IADS system

- ★ Redundant systems and well-trained operators with the discipline to wait for a better opportunity
- Affected tactical employment of airpower throughout the war (altitude restrictions)
- Required significant ISR and SEAD efforts throughout the campaign (stressed LD/HD assets)

Will we train for this environment...or continue to assume we can take IADS down early?



Low density assets were <u>absolutely</u> in high demand

- ★ Impacts of this campaign will be felt for years (platforms, systems, reliability, parts, personnel, retention, replacement costs)
- The trend is in the wrong direction... the demand will only get <u>higher</u>
- The density cannot remain <u>low</u>
- Regardless of service, an issue for joint funding at the highest priority
- We do not leave home without them

And without them... we <u>cannot</u> leave home



Self-Inflicted Wounds in Asymmetric Warfare



The enemy benefited from:

- **★** The NCA / NAC target approval processes
- Our poor OPSEC posture (NATO <u>and</u> US)
- Our inability to wage full IO campaign
- Our self-suspension on cluster munitions
- Our standards for limiting Collateral Damage
- Our aversion to US casualties... and ground combat
- Our reactive vs. proactive Public Info / Public Affairs

All of the above slowed the "Decide -- Act" side of our own OODA loops ... and reduced our control of the operational tempo

The <u>next</u> adversary will as well... and may take <u>far better advantage</u> of it



Final Thoughts



We succeeded. But what if...

- The enemy had attacked front line allies with ground forces... or theater ballistic missiles?
- The enemy had gotten even a few POWs... or KIAs?
- Invasion became the only option?
- The FRY submarine had sortied?
- We were still fighting in winter weather?
- We'd expended our precision munitions stocks?
- Public support had weakened or evaporated?
- France...or Italy (bed down) ... had said "enough"?
- North Korea or Iraq had attacked?

We won't know until the next time